

UVSS Elections Office
Electoral Report
April 2021

Authors:
Emma Hamill (CEO), Ali Callidine and Owen Pachal (SEOs)

Introductions and Acknowledgements

The University of Victoria's Student Society Elections Office, as dictated by the Electoral Policy Manual, submits this 2021 UVSS Electoral Report. The UVSS Elections Office is comprised of three electoral officers:

Chief Electoral Officer: Emma Hamill

Senior Electoral Officers: Ali Calladine and Owen Pachal

The Elections Office would like to thank the UVSS Board of Directors, the Electoral Committee, and all UVSS Staff, especially Graphics, Sarina deHavelyn, Katie McFarlan, Stefanie Hardman, and Alannah James. Thank you to CFUV and the Martlet for supporting the needs of students by publicizing election information and events. The Office also acknowledges the University Secretary's Office for their assistance and for the administration of the WebVote System.

The following report outlines the official results of the referendum, a financial statement, and suggestions to improve the efficiency and fairness of the election. It highlights issues that occurred and how they can be prevented in the future.

We would like to acknowledge that the COVID-19 pandemic had a huge impact on the elections. We saw record low nominations and voter turnout as a result of these unprecedented times.

Summary	4
Detailed Accounts of the Elections Process	5
1. Nominations	5
2. Major Policy Changes	5
3. Elections Office	5
4. Campaign Period	6
5. Formal Complaints	8
6. Appeals	8
7. Online Voting	8
8. Polling Period	9
9. Voter Turnout	9
10. Election Results	9
11. Referendum Results	10
12. Post Election	11
13. Budget	11
14. Feedback	11
Recommendations	12
Conclusion	13
Appendix A: Electoral Staff Division of Primary Responsibilities	14
Appendix B: Timeline of Important Dates	15
Appendix C: Detailed Task List	16
Appendix D: Official Results	17

Summary

The 2021 Election to the Board of Directors was concluded successfully. While the Election was a tumultuous one, not without incident, all issues were resolved.

The Electoral Policy Manual (EPM) acted as an effective guiding framework from which to conduct the election, adjudicate policy, and make decisions.

In addition to the Election of the Board of Directors, the UVSS Board of Directors approved and endorsed the following five questions:

Referendum Question 1: Are you in favour of ending the collection of the fee, which is \$3.00 for full time students per semester and \$1.50 for part time students per semester, that goes towards a Public Interest Research Group (PIRG), as there is not currently an active service provider operating a UVic PIRG? (Proponent: Caleb Burd)

Referendum Question 2: Are you in favour of reallocating the fees currently held in trust for the Public Interest Research Group (PIRG), to be split evenly between a UVic student award established with preference for BIPOC students, and the UVSS Operating Fund? You are voting for this question under the following circumstances:

- The fees held in trust are \$192,623 as of January 15, 2021; and,
- If a majority of students vote no or this question doesn't reach quorum, the UVSS Board of Directors will administer all current and future fees collected for PIRG purposes in the best interests of undergraduate students at the University of Victoria, to be determined via a vote of the Board of Directors. (Proponent: Caleb Burd)

Referendum Question 3: Are you in favour of establishing a fee of \$1.50 per full-time student per semester and \$0.75 per part-time student per semester in order to fund the creation of open-source textbooks and other open-source learning materials, which would be used in UVic classrooms? All Monies would be transferred annually to the UVic Division of Learning and Teaching Support and Innovation (LTSI), and UVic Libraries, for the purpose of the adoption, adaptation, or development of open educational resources (OERs). (Proponent: Jonathan Granirer)

Referendum Question 4: Do you support tying UVSS fees to inflation (a maximum annual increase of approximately 2%) starting in September 2022 so the UVSS can continue to serve and represent students as the cost of doing so increases? This does not include Health and Dental or the U-Pass fees. (Proponent: Emily Lowan)

Referendum Question 5: Are you in favour of establishing a fee of \$2.50 per full-time student per semester and \$1.25 per part-time student per semester, beginning in September 2021 and ending in August 2026, to evaluate and fund environmental sustainability initiatives for the UVSS (including, but not limited to: hardware, software, new windows, heat pumps, the recycling program, and solar panels)? (Proponent: Caleb Burd)

Detailed Accounts of the Elections Process

1. Nominations

Two individuals ran for Director of Campaigns and Community Relations; one individual ran for Director of Events; one individual ran for Director of Finance and Operations; one individual ran for Director of Outreach and University Relations; and two individuals ran for Director of Student Affairs. Initially nominations were vacant for Director of Events, the nomination period was extended and the nomination for the position was filled.

Initially, six individuals filed nomination papers for the eleven Director-at-Large positions. With 5 vacant nominations, the nomination period was extended and we received 7 additional nominations. One of the nominations was not an active UVSS member and was rejected, another was rejected for an incomplete and late nomination. At the end of the extended nomination period, 11 DAL nominations were acclaimed to the position. Near the end of campaigning one candidate withdrew their nomination, bringing the total down to ten acclaimed individuals for Director-at-Large.

One individual filed nomination papers for the Director of International Student Relations. They were acclaimed to the position.

Five board endorsed proponents submitted nominations and were approved.

2. Major Policy Changes

The UVSS Elections Office was opened on August 1, 2020 to prepare for an online election. Shawn Slavin was hired to make changes to the EPM which was updated and approved on September 21, 2020 and provided the framework for all procedures and standards.

The EPM was updated again on January 1, 2021 to remove cooperatives, creating policy on campaigning expectations to ensure each candidate's campaign remains independent.

3. Elections Office

Emma Hamill returned to the Elections Office as Chief Electoral Officer (CEO). Owen Pachal and Ali Calladine returned as Senior Electoral Officers.

The SEOs were responsible for carrying out the day-to-day operations, preparing the vote and resolving disputes informally as directed by the CEO. The CEO's main responsibilities included supervising the office's day-to-day operations, resolution of formal complaints and providing advice on policy interpretation.

The Elections Office worked with the Electoral Committee to ensure that any updates to policy were correctly reflected in the planning of elections and voting. The Elections Office created an open avenue of communication with both the Electoral Committee and the Appeals Members, which consisted of the Elections Adjudicator and Arbitration Panel. This

provided a transparent and safe environment for continued improvement of Elections Office operations.

The CEO updated the Appeals Members and the Electoral Committee on a regular basis after the close of nominations and the start of the campaign period, to ensure prompt planning of electoral events and deadlines.

Providing all necessary elections forms online, including the Candidate's Handbook, nomination forms, and other essential documentation, streamlined the activities of both the candidates and Electoral Officers.

The online submissions of all electoral forms contributed to the efficiency in communication between the candidates and the Elections Office. As well, this provided an electronic record of complaints or concerns as they occurred.

The current board held three open houses, with turnout at two of them. Elections staff were present to help answer election policy questions.

The Elections Office worked closely with USEC to ensure the voter list was up to date. Eligibility to vote is set by the UVSS by-laws as "all currently registered undergraduate students and students enrolled in certificate and diploma programs at the University of Victoria." The student must also be in good standing with the students' society, as per the Constitution and Bylaws 2.1.a and 2.1.d. Parameters are now in place for the University database system, to adequately create an updated voters list. The University Secretary and Registrar checked candidate eligibility electronically over email.

4. Campaign Period

Candidates campaign according to the guidelines set in EPM.

a. Candidate Handbook

The Candidate's Handbook, available on the Election Office's website to all candidates, contains a brief summary of pertinent information concerning the UVSS Elections. The Candidate's Handbook highlights important policy parameters, provides guidance on policy interpretation, campaign material information, and the process/procedure for complaints and appeals. The Candidate's Handbook was updated and posted in February on the website, coinciding with the close of nominations.

b. All-Candidates Orientation

The Elections Office hosted an All-Candidates Orientation, to meet with candidates prior to the start of campaigning. The purpose of this event was to review policy, outline candidates' responsibilities and obligations, ensure a fair election process, and help candidates avoid any potential for campaign infractions. It also gave the candidates an opportunity to ask questions and meet the Elections Office.

The meeting was led by the CEO, with further participation by the SEOs. Copies of the Candidates' Handbook and How to Campaign guide were electronically distributed.

c. Voter Information Guide

The Voter Information Guide, which the Elections Office previously compiled for publication in The Martlet, was created virtually as an interactive guide on the Elections Website. Graphics designed the pages and they were found to be user friendly and intuitive. With the absence of people on campus, the decision to post virtually was made. Advertisements were still posted in print and on the Martlet's website.

d. All-Candidates Forum

The All Candidates Forum was done virtually via Zoom. CFUV and the Martlet moderated the forum with Elections staff helping with Zoom back end and logistics. As an uncontentious election, questions were posed to candidates to allow viewers to get a sense of what candidates will bring to the board if elected.

Attendance for the All-Candidates Forum was poor, however it was live streamed on CFUV and its recording was later posted on their website for viewers to review after the fact.

e. Advertising

The Elections Office was limited to mostly virtual advertising. In previous years we took advantage of the traditional methods of advertising (posters, banners, and handbills) and word of mouth with polling stations across campus. We relied on broadcast emails, the Martlet advertisements, CFUV commercials, and through the Elections Office's social media outlets; these included our WordPress website, our official Facebook page, Twitter and Instagram. Although we do not have a large following, through our social media and the UVSS social media we were able to connect with the student body in as many ways as possible. Unfortunately, many of our boosted ads through Facebook and Instagram were rejected for political content.

f. Candidates Online Content

Being a completely online election, candidates relied on Websites, Facebook and Instagram. Instagram was the most popular avenue to share their platforms. Unfortunately, since the 11 DALs were acclaimed they did not create profiles. With 4 of the 5 Lead director positions uncontested, they also did not put out as much content as has been seen in previous years. Only 5 candidates created platforms, 2 of which boosted their platforms and used campaign spending.

Many candidates pushed back from creating new accounts for the purpose of campaigning. The Elections Office agrees with the policy and thinks that allowing candidates to use existing profiles would give them an unfair advantage. Additionally, we think the use of third party groups social media should be clarified in policy. For example, what is possible for advocacy groups, clubs and course unions wanting to post content about elections and candidates.

With low voter turn out, the Elections Office supports increased budget for digital. We also support including a minimum spending limit to ensure that candidates, including those acclaimed, are campaigning. The Martlet had an 'Elections' section on their website,

providing up to date elections coverage. The Elections Office is highly supportive of other organizations providing an additional balanced, accessible forum through which all candidates can engage with voters, and through which voters can obtain additional election information.

5. Formal Complaints

The Elections Office received five formal complaints, and comprehensive rulings were provided by the CEO on all five submissions. Additional complaints were filed for each complaint topic, but as the complaints were already being investigated, additional complaints were dismissed with all complainants being informed on the results. Two of the complaints lead to the disqualification of a candidate for Director of Student Affairs.

All complaints were made against the same respondent for the content posted on a second pre-existing account. All 5 complaints allege that the respondent used a pre-existing Instagram account not solely created for campaign purposes in breach of Electoral Policy Manual (“EPM”) 6.1.j.i.a. Additionally, using such an account they breached EPM 6.1.j.i, which states that only one social media page per platform may be used for campaigning. The complaints also alleges the respondent:

- a. Named a candidate in their campaign material (6.1 c);
- b. Commented on an Electoral Event-related content produced by a third-party (e.g., UVSS advocacy and affiliated organizations and Separately Incorporated Fee-Levied) in this case, the Martlet, using Posts on a secondary account (6.1.g)
- c. Made reference to a candidate in their campaign material (6.1 h);
- d. Accepted an endorsement from an on-or off-campus group (6.1 i);

Two complaints concerned allegations of the disqualifiable offence defamation, by one candidate using a second social media account. After reviewing the information on the complaints submitted on this issue, it was confirmed that the second account was attributed to the respondent. By connecting with the complainants, respondent, and witnesses, the CEO ruled that both allegations were defamation and disqualified the candidate.

6. Appeals

Elections Adjudicator, Randy Parker, and the Arbitration Panel, composed of Hilary Graham, Matt Watters and Greg Atkinson, returned. The Elections Office met virtually with the Elections Adjudicator and Arbitration Panel to review the electoral timeline and the complaints process. A document was given to the members highlighting complaint preparation and informal conflict resolution as key goals. The appeals staff was informed when complaints came in and the possible timelines for each as they progressed. No complaints were appealed.

7. Online Voting

Voting was conducted using UVic’s WebVote System, administered through the University Secretary’s Office. This was the tenth year WebVote was employed in UVSS Elections.

Voting went smoothly and candidate bios were added to the ballot for the first time. Unfortunately, WebVote was unable to offer this for referenda proponents.

8. Polling Period

Polls opened at 9:00am PST March 24, 2021 and closed 12:00pm PST March 26, 2021. Unlike previous years, all voting was done online and no polling stations were operated as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Emails to the student body proved to be the best way to call voting action. As per our agreement with the University Secretary, emails were sent for Call for Nominations and Voting Open campaigns. An additional email on the last day of voting would be beneficial for engagement. In addition, significant time and energy was dedicated to promotional work in order to increase student awareness and promote the use of online voting.

9. Voter Turnout

1233 out of a possible 18, 676 eligible voters, for a turnout rate of 6.6%.

10. Election Results

Director of Campaigns and Community Relations	Robin Pollard
Director of Events	Kisha Roxas
Director of Finance and Operations	Dipayan Nag
Director of Outreach and University Relations	Marran Dodds
Director of Student Affairs	Mariel Hernández
Director of International Student Relations	Joshua Fassnacht
Directors at Large	Kathleen Banville Deborah Berman Nigel Bisnar Hailey Chutter Kritika Gupta Rowan Huff Shilpa Parveen Siddharth Pathak Dhruvi Raichada Kishal Scholz

11. Referendum Results

Referendum Question 1: Are you in favour of ending the collection of the fee, which is \$3.00 for full time students per semester and \$1.50 for part time students per semester, that goes towards a Public Interest Research Group (PIRG), as there is not currently an active service provider operating a UVic PIRG? (Proponent: Caleb Burd)

Failed, Quorum not met.

Referendum Question 2: Are you in favour of reallocating the fees currently held in trust for the Public Interest Research Group (PIRG), to be split evenly between a UVic student award established with preference for BIPOC students, and the UVSS Operating Fund? You are voting for this question under the following circumstances:

- The fees held in trust are \$192,623 as of January 15, 2021; and,
- If a majority of students vote no or this question doesn't reach quorum, the UVSS Board of Directors will administer all current and future fees collected for PIRG purposes in the best interests of undergraduate students at the University of Victoria, to be determined via a vote of the Board of Directors. (Proponent: Caleb Burd)

Failed, Quorum not met.

Referendum Question 3: Are you in favour of establishing a fee of \$1.50 per full-time student per semester and \$0.75 per part-time student per semester in order to fund the creation of open-source textbooks and other open-source learning materials, which would be used in UVic classrooms? All monies would be transferred annually to the UVic Division of Learning and Teaching Support and Innovation (LTSI), and UVic Libraries, for the purpose of the adoption, adaptation, or development of open educational resources (OERs).

(Proponent: Jonathan Granirer)

Failed, Quorum not met.

Referendum Question 4: Do you support tying UVSS fees to inflation (a maximum annual increase of approximately 2%) starting in September 2022 so the UVSS can continue to serve and represent students as the cost of doing so increases? This does not include Health and Dental or the U-Pass fees. (Proponent: Emily Lowan)

Failed, Quorum not met.

Referendum Question 5: Are you in favour of establishing a fee of \$2.50 per full-time student per semester and \$1.25 per part-time student per semester, beginning in September 2021 and ending in August 2026, to evaluate and fund environmental sustainability initiatives for the UVSS (including, but not limited to: hardware, software, new windows, heat pumps, the recycling program, and solar panels)? (Proponent: Caleb Burd)

Failed, Quorum not met.

12. Post Election

Section 6.5f in the Electoral Policy Manual states “Candidates, proponents and opponents must submit the Expense Allowance Form and copies of all receipts to the Electoral Officers before the end of the Voting Period.” All Candidates submitted their paperwork on time. All but two candidates used their budget and were reimbursed.

13. Budget

The cost of running an election can be significant, and many factors external to the Elections Office can impact the budget. These factors include: the number of referenda asked, the number of candidates running; the conduct of the candidates in terms of time and effort needed to resolve complaints; appeals from any complaints decisions; and the ease of hiring and training both pollsitters and electoral officers.

Staff hours comprise the largest part of the budget. Election policy and processes require substantial staff hours in attending to details, without which the integrity of the election could be compromised. The CEO was given sufficient autonomy in operations keeping the budget in mind; however budget management and oversight is reserved by the UVSS Administration and Services Manager. Budget details may be obtained from them.

14. Feedback

The Elections Office reached out to all candidates, proponents, the Electoral Committee, Graphics, CFUV, The Martlet, USEC, the Registrar’s Office, and UVSS staff for feedback post-election. We received feedback from two candidates, one proponent, and the Electoral Committee.

In summary the feedback shows us:

- The Elections Office completed their tasks, supported candidates, communicated well and in a timely and professional way;
- Having the election entirely online was detrimental to engagement and participation;
- Nomination instructions should be clarified further to decrease submission errors. Promoting that an Elections Officer is available the day before could also help;
- Outreach tasks should be more clearly communicated between the Electoral Committee and Elections Office; creation of a detailed timeline at the beginning of the electoral season with deadlines for when materials will be prepared and posted;
- At the all Candidates Forum referenda (pr)opponents would like to go first in the speaking order to ensure people are still in attendance. The format could be improved separating the Candidates Forum into each position’s sections, rather than popcorn style, would ensure that folks hear from everyone equally, and would reduce dead space’
- Zoom Webinar would be a better platform for Electoral virtual events and the chat function could be used for further engagement;

- Candidates would like more clarification on interacting with other candidates' posts (i.e following other candidates and liking their posts) on social media;
- Complaint rulings should be posted not only on the website, but abstracts should be posted on social media.

The Elections Office thanks those who were able to give their feedback. In these unprecedented times it is so important and we have taken your feedback seriously in writing this report and our recommendations.

Recommendations

1. Communications Electoral Officer: The Elections Office recommends the creation of a Communications Electoral Officer position, especially in the case of another virtual election. This position would be solely responsible for the Wordpress site, all Social Media Channels, the Voter Information Guide, video editing and posting, liaising with the UVSS Communications team, Graphics and the Martlet. Having an officer with the right experience, dedication, and time available for this demanding position would ensure that SEOs and the CEO can continue to be committed to their responsibility to administer a fair and just election, support candidates, and liaise with all elections stakeholders. If this position was not feasible, we would recommend the UVSS communications team, who are skilled and experienced in this area, take over the responsibility of the Elections Office Social Media, with the website, and Voter Information Guide remaining under the Elections Office purview.
2. Acclaimed Positions: We recommend the addition and clarification of acclaimed candidates responsibilities be added to the EPM. With the apathy of acclaimed and uncontested positions, candidates did not campaign. It should be made clear that acclaimed candidates must still; follow the EPM, submit expense reports, attend Candidate Orientations and the All Candidates Forum, and be encouraged to use this opportunity to engage in the student body.
3. Campaign Expenditures: With the apathy of acclaimed or uncontested positions, campaigning was limited which was shown in the lack of campaign expenses. We recommend that a minimum campaign expense be added to ensure candidates are putting in the time and effort to engage and inform the student body. Additionally, we recommend that as an incentive to campaign, the campaign allowance be dispersed after nominations have been approved to encourage folks to spend it and not worry about paying it back post-election.
4. Nomination Forms: Nomination forms should outline a step by step how to submit sections to ensure errors are not made that could lead to a nomination rejection. For example: To complete your nomination form, first get the signatures of between 15 and 25 active UVSS Members, you cannot sign your own form. Second, fill out all of your information clearly. Third, compile your platform and photo. AND lastly, email your nomination form, platform and photo to election@uvss.ca and cc studentsupport@uvic.ca. By sending it to both your nomination will be at the Elections Office, and your eligibility will be checked by the Registrar's office.

5. All Candidates Forum: Historically, it is difficult to get folks to engage in the All Candidates Forum. We recommend that the UVSS, the Elections Office, CFUV and the Martlet create a communications plan to ensure the word is getting out. If the event were to be held virtually again, we recommend live streaming the video from the Elections platforms. Additionally, the format should ensure that each candidate has an opportunity to speak. The use of Zoom Webinar is recommended.
6. University Secretary: The broadcast email is one of the Election's Office greatest tools. We recommend that an agreement with USEC be put in place to allow for an additional reminder broadcast email on the morning of the last day of voting. We also encourage the addition of referenda proponents and opponents platforms to be included in the WebVote system.
7. Third Party Endorsements: A few years ago, the ability to have a third party endorse your platform was removed from the policy. We recommend that this policy be reviewed. There are benefits to Third Party Endorsements for the Electoral proceedings, not only through marketing and communications, but in fairness. As the policy stands now, a candidate could be penalized for including the name of an advocacy group, club or course union they are involved with in their platform. For voters this can be important information to see a candidate's experience and values through the groups they involve themselves in.
8. Virtual Referenda: After seeing two Referendums fail due to quorum, we recommend that in the event of an exclusively virtual electoral event, referenda questions not be posed until an in person election can be conducted. Voter fatigue can be seen for posing the same questions .

Conclusion

The 2021 UVSS Elections were conducted fairly and democratically. All of the administrative and organizational responsibilities set out in the EPM were met, and carried out in accordance with the principles of fairness, transparency, accountability, and respect for all individuals involved in the process.

Online voting continues to be an ideal platform for the elections, with no issues surrounding security, voter eligibility, or accessibility. Exclusive online campaigning with the lack of polling stations, however, has proven to decrease engagement and participation from UVSS members.

Appendix A: Electoral Staff Division of Primary Responsibilities

<p>Chief Electoral Officer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Supervise election staff - Responsible for overall function of the Electoral office - Review all material before it goes out of the Electoral Office to ensure alignment with pertinent policies - Complaints/Appeals/Adjudication Policy - Nomination Submission/Qualifications/Acceptance - Internal Financial Tracking - Campaign financing / Expenses/ Spending limits - Consultation with SSID, USEC, Electoral Committee, Appeals Staff, Registrar - Liaise with WebVote and create Ballots - Referenda approval/ wording / proponents and opponents - Submission of final report. 	<p>Senior Electoral Officer 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Posting all required electronic notices, materials, and communications - Work with Graphics for branding/ads/Voter Information Guide - Social Media Maintenance - Website Maintenance - Candidate Platforms/Word Counts/Publication - Scheduling and organizing Candidate Forum in conjunction with CFUV and the Martlet
<p>Senior Electoral Officer 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Scheduling meetings/ responding to candidates - Candidates handbooks - Update all Electoral Forms - Organization of Candidate Training sessions - Liaise with the CEO on complaints. - 	

Appendix B: Timeline of Important Dates

Referendum Questions accepted 14 days before nomination period

Call for Nominations: January 25-Feb 1

Board holds Open Houses: Feb. 4, 11, 22

Nomination Period (Extended): February 8th 9am – March 3rd, 9am

Candidates Orientation: March 8th

Voter Information Guide: March 11th:

All Candidates Forum: March 19th

Voting Days: March 24th, 9am – Friday March 26th, 12pm PST

Appendix C: Detailed Task List

Please find our detailed task list and deadlines here:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1KTvInZSJWwbwWL88dnDNfqd0rWwb7emE/view?usp=sharing>

Official UVSS Election and Referendum Results – Spring 2021

Number of Eligible Voters	Number of Voters	Voter Turnout
18, 676	1,233	6.6%

Referendum Question 1: Are you in favour of ending the collection of the fee, which is \$3.00 for full time students per semester and \$1.50 for parttime students per semester, that goes towards a Public Interest Research Group (PIRG), as there is not currently an active service provider operating a UVic PIRG?
(Proponent: Caleb Burd)

Yes	No	Result
1091	97	QUORUM NOT MET (PER UVSS CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS 4.7.C)

[Number of spoiled/blank ballots: 45]

Referendum Question 2: Are you in favour of reallocating the fees currently held in trust for the Public Interest Research Group (PIRG), to be split evenly between a UVic student award established with preference for BIPOC students, and the UVSS Operating Fund? You are voting for this question under the following circumstances:

- The fees held in trust are \$192,623 as of January 15, 2021; and,
- If a majority of students vote no or this question doesn't reach quorum, the UVSS Board of Directors will administer all current and future fees collected for PIRG purposes in the best interests of undergraduate students at the University of Victoria, to be determined via a vote of the Board of Directors.

(Proponent: Caleb Burd)

Yes	No	Result
900	256	QUORUM NOT MET (PER UVSS CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS 4.7.C)

[Number of spoiled/blank ballots: 77]

Referendum Question 3: Are you in favour of establishing a fee of \$1.50 per full-time student per semester and \$0.75 per part-time student per semester in order to fund the creation of open-source textbooks and other open-source learning materials, which would be used in UVic classrooms? All monies would be transferred annually to the UVic Division of Learning and Teaching Support and Innovation (LTSI), and UVic Libraries, for the purpose of the adoption, adaptation, or development of open educational resources (OERs).

(Proponent: Jonathan Granirer)

Yes	No	Result
987	189	QUORUM NOT MET (PER UVSS CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS 4.7.C)

[Number of spoiled/blank ballots: 57]

Referendum Question 4: Do you support tying UVSS fees to inflation (a maximum annual increase of approximately 2%) starting in September 2022 so the UVSS can continue to serve and represent students as the cost of doing so increases? This does not include Health and Dental or the U-Pass fees.

(Proponent: Emily Lowan)

Yes	No	Result
709	444	QUORUM NOT MET (PER UVSS CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS 4.7.C)

[Number of spoiled/blank ballots: 80]

Referendum Question 5: Are you in favour of establishing a fee of \$2.50 per full-time student per semester and \$1.25 per part-time student per semester, beginning in September 2021 and ending in August 2026, to evaluate and fund environmental sustainability initiatives for the UVSS (including, but not limited to: hardware, software, new windows, heat pumps, the recycling program, and solar panels)?

(Proponent: Caleb Burd)

Yes	No	Result
861	309	QUORUM NOT MET (PER UVSS CONSTITUTION AND BYLAWS 4.7.C)

[Number of spoiled/blank ballots: 63]

Director of Campaigns and Community Relations:

Candidate	Number of Votes Received	Percent	Result
Izzy Adachi	439	43.3	
Robin Pollard	576	56.7	Elected

[Number of spoiled/blank ballots: 218]

Director of Events: Kisha Roxas

Candidate	Number of Votes Received	Percent	Result
Yes	843	85.2	Elected
No	146	14.8	

[Number of spoiled/blank ballots: 244]

Director of Finance and Operations: Dipayan Nag

Candidate	Number of Votes Received	Percent	Result
Yes	915	92.2	Elected
No	77	7.8	

[Number of spoiled/blank ballots: 241]

Director of Outreach and University Relations: Marran Dodds

Candidate	Number of Votes Received	Percent	Result
Yes	987	89.3	Elected
No	107	10.7	

[Number of spoiled/blank ballots: 229]

Director of Student Affairs: Mariel Hernández

Candidate	Number of Votes Received	Percent	Result
Yes	902	89.7	Elected
No	104	10.3	

[Number of spoiled/blank ballots: 227]

Director of International Relations (Acclaimed)

Joshua Fassnacht

Directors at Large (Acclaimed Candidates)

Kathleen Banville
Deborah Berman
Nigel Bisnar
Hailey Chutter
Kritika Gupta
Rowan Huff
Shilpa Parveen
Siddharth Pathak
Dhruvi Raichada
Kishal Scholz